

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/684,086	10/10/2003	Kimbolt Young	03-255 US	3032
23410 Vista IP Law G	7590 07/20/2007 w Group LLP			
2040 MAIN ST	REET, 9TH FLOOR		COHEN, LEE S	
IRVINE, CA 92	2614		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3739	3739	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	. Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/684,086	YOUNG ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Lee S. Cohen	3739	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cove	r sheet with the correspondence address	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS CO 136(a). In no event, howevill apply and will expire a, cause the application to	OMMUNICATION. vever, may a reply be timely filed SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 J</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under the	s action is non-finance except for for	ormal matters, prosecution as to the merits is	5
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Lx parte Quayle,	1950 C.D. 11, 400 C.G. 210.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6,8,18,20-22,24,31,3 Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-5,7,10-17,23,25-30,32,34-39 and 4 Claim(s) 19 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	<u>33,40 and 47</u> is/ar <u>11-46</u> is/are reject	ted.	
Applicat	ion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) ob drawing(s) be held tion is required if th	d in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ne drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been rece ts have been rece prity documents ha au (PCT Rule 17.2	eived. eived in Application No nave been received in this National Stage 2(a)).	
2) Notion Notion Notion Notion	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/684,086

Art Unit: 3739

7 =

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 7, 9-17, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Stadlmayr (DE 2,124,684). Applicant's attention is directed to the Figure 3 embodiment. The various electrodes are configurable to assume different active and common configurations; however, the term "configurable" relates to no more intended use of the electrodes absent any positively recited structure effecting the same.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 7, 9-17, 23, 25-30, 32, 34-39, and 41-46 are rejected under either 35 U.S.C. 102(b) or 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by, or in the alternative, unpatentable over Mahvi et al (2002/0022864). The basic device is disclosed by Mahvi et al in Figures 2, 3, and 7. The use of a third electrode set is clearly shown in Figure 7 and detailed at paragraph [0069]. Figure 2 also shows the use of one of the electrode sets as a common electrode, while Figure 4 discloses that a large lesion volume is desired. The various electrodes are configurable

Application/Control Number: 10/684,086

Art Unit: 3739

J . "

to assume different active and common configurations; however, the term "configurable" relates to no more than intended use of the electrodes absent any positively recited structure effecting the same. However, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to render the middle electrode as the common electrode since the reference clearly teaches larger lesion volumes are desired and such connection would inherently accomplish the same.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the above claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 19 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lee S. Cohen whose telephone number is 571-272-4763. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on 571-272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/684,086

Art Unit: 3739

<u>,</u> • , , • ∓

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lee S. Cohen

Primary Examiner

2. 2 GR

Art Unit 3739

LSC

July 17, 2007